IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4077 OF 2004

Anil Maruti Shevkari ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr. Uday Warunjikar, for the Applicant.

Mr. A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

4th October 2004

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the F.I.R. and other relevant documents. The argument of Mr. Warunjikar that there is no material against the Applicant insofar as the offence made out against him is concerned cannot be accepted. The F.I.R. does mention the specific role of the Applicant in the commission of offence. Besides, the learned A.P.P. on instructions states that two more cases are registered against the Applicant being Cr.No.

149/2004 and Cr.No. 156/2004 in Yawat Police Station. Taking overall view of the matter, this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

. There is another reason to reject this application. As on the previous occasion, grievance was made by the learned A.P.P. that the Applicant is absconding, in the light of the said grievance, the Applicant was directed to remain present in Court at the time of hearing of this application. However, today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant states that the Applicant has so far not contacted him. Even this is a good reason for rejecting this application.

. Hence, rejected.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)